

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS FEB 24 1999

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: 98-02388

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

Applicant requests that his Reenlistment Eligibility (RE) Code of 2C, issued in conjunction with his 23 June 1998 entry level separation, be upgraded to a 1. (Examiner's Note: RE-2C denotes entry level separation without characterization of service.) Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The appropriate Air Force offices evaluated applicant's request and provided advisory opinions to the Board recommending the application be denied (Exhibit C). The advisory opinions were forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, 'we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the advisory opinions appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board, Mr. Benedict A. Kausal IV, Mr. Patrick R. Wheeler, and Mrs. Margaret A. Zook, considered this application on 5 January 1999 in accordance with the provisions of Air Force Instruction 36-2603 and the governing statute, 10 U.S.C. 1552.

| [REDACTED]

Benedict A. Kausal IV
Panel Chair

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. Advisory Opinions
- D. SAF/MIBR Ltr Forwarding Advisory Opinions



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE PERSONNEL CENTER
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS

SEP 28 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR AFBCMR

FROM: HQ AFPC/DPPRS
550 C Street West Ste 11
Randolph AFB TX 78150-4713

SUBJECT: Application for Correction of Military Records - [REDACTED]

The applicant, while serving in the grade of airman basic, was separated from the Air Force 23 Jun 98 under the provisions of AFI 36-3208 (Entry Level Performance and Conduct) with an uncharacterized discharge. He served 06 months and 07 days total active service.

Requested Action. The applicant is requesting his reentry code 2C be changed in order for him to reenlist.

Basis for Request. Applicant does not claim an injustice in the discharge he received only three (3) months ago.

Facts. The applicant was notified by his commander on 11 Jun 98 that discharge action had been initiated against him for entry level performance and conduct. Applicant had failed to make satisfactory progress in the Correctional Custody program. Specifically, he received three letters of counseling and 27 AETC Forms. Discrepancy Reports, for numerous infractions ranging from inspection failures to not marching. In addition, he had received Article 15 punishment for wrongfully consuming alcoholic beverages while under the legal drinking age of 21. He also failed to obey a lawful general instruction by wrongfully consuming alcoholic beverages in the student dormitory. The commander advised applicant that if his recommendation is approved, that his discharge would be described as entry level separation and that he would be ineligible for reenlistment in the Air Force. He was advised he had a right to consult counsel and the right to submit statements in his own behalf. He did consult counsel and submitted a written statement in own behalf appealing for another chance to prove himself. On 22 Jun 98, the discharge authority approved the Entry Level Separation for performance and conduct. Airmen are given entry level separation/uncharacterized service characterization when separation action is initiated against them in the first 180 days of continuous active service.

Discussion. This case has been reviewed for separation processing and there are no errors or irregularities causing an injustice to the applicant. The discharge complies with directives in effect at the time of his discharge. The records indicate member's military service was reviewed and appropriate action was taken.

9802388

Recommendation. Applicant did not identify any specific errors in the discharge processing nor provide facts which warrant a change in his reason for discharge. Accordingly, we recommend applicant's request be denied. He has filed a timely request.



**JOHN C. WOOTEN, DAF
Military Personnel Mgmt Spec
Separations Branch
Dir of Personnel Program Management**

980 2388



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE PERSONNELCENTER
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS

01 OCT 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR AFBCMR

FROM: HQ AFPC/DPPAES
550 C Street West Ste 10
Randolph AFB TX 78150-4712

SUBJECT: Application for Correction of Record [REDACTED]

We conducted a review of applicant's case file. The Reenlistment Eligibility (RE) Code "2C" is correct. The type of discharge drove assignment of the RE code.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kathleen R. Lopez".

KATHLEEN R. LOPEZ, MSgt, USAF
Special Programs and BCMR Manager
Dir of Personnel Program Management

9802388